

Filed this 25th Day of October 2012 In Open Court

-KSN

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

VOLCANO'S U.S. PATENT NO. 5,178,159

QUESTION NO. 1:

Do you find that Volcano has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that St. Jude's accused products, the PressureWire 4, Pressure 5 and Certus Generation 6, literally infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,178,159?

YES [for VOLCANO]

NO [for ST. JUDE]

CLAIM 8: _____

X

CLAIM 9: _____

X

VOLCANO'S U.S. PATENT NO. 5,178,159

QUESTION NO. 2:

Do you find that Volcano has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that St. Jude's accused products, PressureWire 4, Pressure 5 and Certus Generation 6, infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,178,159 under the doctrine of equivalents?

YES [for VOLCANO]

NO [for ST. JUDE]

CLAIM 8: _____

X

CLAIM 9: _____

X

VOLCANO'S U.S. PATENT NO. 5,797,856

QUESTION NO. 3:

Do you find that Volcano has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that St. Jude's accused products, PressureWire 4, PressureWire 5, Certus Generation 6, Certus Generation 7, Aeris Generation 6 and Aeris Generation 7, infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,797,856 under the doctrine of equivalents?

YES [for VOLCANO]

NO [for ST. JUDE]

CLAIM 14: _____

CLAIM 15: _____

CLAIM 16: _____

VOLCANO'S U.S. PATENT NO. 6,976,965

QUESTION NO. 4:

Do you find that Volcano has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that St. Jude's accused products, Certus Generation 6, Certus Generation 7, Aeris Generation 6 and Aeris Generation 7, infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,976,965 under the doctrine of equivalents?

YES [for VOLCANO]

NO [for ST. JUDE]

CLAIM 1: _____

CLAIM 4: _____

CLAIM 5: _____

We, the jurors, by signing below, indicate our unanimous verdict.

REDACTED

Juror